# Deep Learning-Augmented Preoperative Planning: Computational Approaches to Surgical Precision and Perioperative Outcome Optimization

*Dr. Katarzyna Szymkowiak, Professor of Computer Science, Poznań University of Technology, Poland*

## 1. Introduction to AI in Surgical Planning and Execution

Artificial intelligence (AI) can play a pivotal role in enhancing the surgical workflow, from planning to execution. The major benefits of involving AI in these steps include an increase in the precision and accuracy of surgical tasks and the potential to provide personalized surgical solutions. AI technology can also offer assistance during surgical procedures and supply real-time, relevant information about the patient's tissue status, the margin of resection, and more. However, the primary potential lies in decreasing operation time and the threat of post-surgery complications. Furthermore, technology can support an operating surgeon in making more personalized and informed decisions, leading to improved patient health outcomes. AI-based development tools are beginning to be integrated into a range of surgical tasks, including surgical planning, guidance, robotic control, anesthesia control, and cognitive assistance during the operation, among others.

Despite these advances, maintaining an efficient surgical workflow is a non-trivial engineering task. Medical staff must minimize the impact on quality of life while managing the expected intra-operation time. A challenging aspect of monitoring and control is that a multitude of tasks, both planned and unplanned, must first be identified, prioritized, and organized. The sheer number of contingencies that must be considered is prohibitive, and thus the task cannot be planned without the aid of an AI planning algorithm or learned policy. The AI-based model represents an accelerator to execute each surgical procedure by fully considering each task's constraints, context, and human and physical resources. Moreover, the AI system might control all the robotic or manual extra-digit control tools, some of the surgical checker-collision AI systems, the surgical tray, and sets of equipment, and so on.

**2. Current Challenges in Surgical Procedures**

While most surgical procedures result in successful outcomes, the ability to offer all patients optimal functional and aesthetically pleasing results is variable. Consequently, some surgical procedures result in outcomes quite variable in both functional and aesthetic results. At the core of this functional variability are the lasting difficulties associated with treating patients as unique individuals. Some surgical procedures are far from 'cookbook' techniques, and the realities of case complexity are evident in areas such as reconstructive surgery, orthopedic surgery, thyroid surgery, and cardiothoracic surgery.

Another common challenge arising from the complexities of surgical practice is sourcing and managing the relevant data required to make informed decisions. Healthcare professionals working in environments with high levels of complexity often struggle to use their experience in any meaningful way for regular decision-making. Some degree of communication interruption or other interpersonal connectivity breakdown within a surgical team has been identified as present in a significant percentage of adverse events reported by surgical practitioners. There is no way in which current technology algorithms can aid the training of surgeons for unanticipated events or challenges. Novelty is exactly that: a departure from what has gone before, and should it be the focus of training and preparation, then a natural consequence is that the majority of cases during surgery should go as expected. When such novel events occur in the hands of a prepared and adaptable surgeon, then the surgical approach can be considered art as well as science.

The application of minimally informed pre-planned surgical techniques or predetermined techniques to most or all cases is a widely practiced approach, particularly in plastic, reconstructive, and orthopedic surgery. Unfortunately, they are also both based on the acceptance of a particular untruth, which is that all patients and pathological processes fit into defined physical models that can reliably be used to predict the responses of tissues to surgical intervention. There is justification for the development of a more systematic approach in surgery for a number of reasons. Evidence is now emerging from detailed case note reviews that the application of minimally informed surgical techniques injudiciously may contribute to both iatrogenic and specifically surgical complications and will have an adverse effect on patient outcomes where such tailored treatment may have an impact on patient prognosis.

**3. Machine Learning Techniques in Surgical Planning**

Surgical planning, like many other decision-making processes, can considerably benefit from data-driven decisions. Over the years, there have been numerous machine learning techniques introduced, which have found applications across domains. Often, learning models are divided into supervised and unsupervised learning. Supervised learning is particularly useful in surgical planning to study preoperative imaging data. It can enhance diagnostic accuracy and prognostic power and help in accurate planning, possibly with a lower learning curve. Furthermore, unsupervised learning can capture the underlying data patterns and variations that exist in the patient segment and help summarize surgical videos.

From their nascent stages, surgical planning based on data analytics has been pioneered using computer-assisted systems. The two most important machine learning techniques are supervised learning and unsupervised learning. Supervised learning can play a significant role in preoperative imaging analysis to enhance the diagnostic accuracy and prognostic power of the procedures planned. Moreover, it will assist the surgeon in formulating personalized interventions and thereby decision-making. Unsupervised learning has also proved its utility towards better understanding of the surgery based on the data. It can help healthcare providers identify the hidden groups in the form of subtechniques conceived by experts and variations present in the patient population based on their responses to surgical planning and the outcome. Conclusively, the capabilities of AI and ML have paved the way for groundbreaking research and systematic evolution of the field. Those who adopt could either optimally integrate with their application or develop strategies for further advancement.

**3.1. Supervised Learning in Preoperative Imaging Analysis**

Supervised learning is the machine learning paradigm of learning a function that maps inputs to outputs based on example input-output pairs. In the context of imaging analysis, supervised learning algorithms can process anatomical structures directly from raw imaging data using large-scale datasets of patients. Training algorithms to recognize critical anatomical features may be used for either preoperative patient stratification or as a decision-making support tool that allows for consensus building in surgically complex cases. When used as a decision support tool, it is important that a preponderance of evidence is available to the decision makers, just as a tumor board benefits from many different imaging modalities and expert opinions.

These algorithms are trained on labeled cases, so in general, the number of cases for which outcome data are known in sufficient detail to form a training set is a rate limiting factor in the development of any supervised learning model. Despite these limitations, research groups have demonstrated a range of supervised learning alternatives for a number of surgical and interventional procedures, including craniotomies and biopsy of eloquent brain areas, deep brain stimulation device implantation, and endovascular treatment of stroke. These applications provide a glimpse of the potential impact of these models and showcase what can be accomplished regardless of data constraints. We have demonstrated the ability to compute the probability of a post-operative neurological deficit following craniotomy; prior to such an analysis, this prediction was intuition-based. It is not difficult to imagine the impact this technology could have on clinical decision-making and shared decision-making paradigms.

In this section, we explore the state-of-the-art applications of AI-based systems for facilitating preoperative imaging analysis and decision making. Preoperative image analysis involves diagnosis, assessing functional and physiological information, detecting tumor boundaries, and generating patient-specific models for enhancing surgical planning. We cover the computational tools and algorithms used for detecting tumors, generating patient-specific models, identifying neural pathways and white matter tracts, as well as predicting intraoperative tissue classification.

### 3.2. Unsupervised Learning for Pattern Recognition in Surgical Data

How unsupervised learning algorithms can be useful to understand surgical data and provide valuable information to supervise them.

3.2. Unsupervised Learning for Pattern Recognition in Surgical Data Surgical data, albeit time-ordered, does not contain explicit labels that correspond to the actual steps of a surgery, as most unsupervised learning algorithms are assumed to work with. Unsupervised learning algorithms, on the other hand, dig through complex data structures using techniques that collectively figure out patterns from data. These algorithms can perform several statistical characterizations of input patterns, which prove useful for tasks where supervision is difficult. The majority of unsupervised learning algorithms aim to detect anomalies, find distinctive trends, or extensive relationships between input features. This sometimes can give rise to the definition of custom tasks used to guide the discovery of automated solutions, based on the assumption that the evaluated properties bear more information than the original data itself. For surgical planning and outcomes, unsupervised learning can couple the pre-operative patient data with post-operative patient outcomes.

Identifying potential commonalities in these patient courses can better pivot surgical strategy and post-operative care plans to best align with the patient's surgical response and subjective variations. Very often, unsupervised learning has unearthed relationships that have previously gone unnoticed—often leading to paradigm shifts in understanding and clinical investigation. Unsupervised learning techniques can also split the data space into statistical bins based on minimized statistical evaluation, with each ensuing bin having distinct differences leading to specialized treatment points. One example of such maximization of differentiation into bins using statistical differences is in the prediction of chemotherapy response using mass spectroscopic data in breast cancer. Other examples abound in the classification of breast density, cancer progression, hospital incurred costs, and the prognostication of heart, brain, and lung abnormalities. One aside of such centroid analysis is that its application to analyze neural signals and images allows for the maximum differentiation of the input signal used in classifiers, where centroids are used as pivot metrics.

Unsupervised learning seeks to uncover data structures that are too subtle for human observation from the highly variant and noisy data present in the surgeries. The major players in unsupervised learning designed to steer research directly have not been used often, mainly due to the data variability and the complexity of the problem itself. In the general sense, one of the overarching goals of any machine learning algorithm, supervised or unsupervised, is to reconstruct the underlying information residing in the dataset or, in essence, to learn something from the data.

Using AI, we cannot only better understand potential patterns in the data but also further optimize the overall surgical planning and execution. Although deep learning is a variant application of unsupervised learning, our goals are slightly different from those of supervised learning. Deep learning analysis rarely gives overheads to clinical decision-making and surgical skill enhancement but can, quite effectively, pick out hidden relationships and trends.

**4. Integration of AI Technologies in Operating Rooms**

The preclinical development process should describe various aspects that could ensure the robustness of the computational method, its performance in the envisaged context of use, and the credibility of the predictions. Yet, some developers focus more on the features of the tools rather than the practical aspects that could ensure the integration and adoption of AI methodologies in the clinical environment, and furthermore, facilitate the use of such tools in the routine surgical workflows. AI technologies could indeed transform existing surgical workflows by both enabling surgical teams to work more effectively and developing a different way of interacting among team members, thereby allowing surgeons to focus more on surgical planning and option selection by reducing or preventing surgical complications. Thus, user-friendly human-machine interfaces should be designed and included in the new generation of AI surgical tools, enabling the surgeon and/or the team to understand the decision, the rationale behind it, as well as the uncertainty affecting the decision itself. Today, a major limitation in the development of AI tools is related to the heavy computational load and the need for achieving real-time functioning, since the amount of data to be managed and analyzed in the operating room should be limited. Finally, the deployment of AI surgical decision support systems implies training and adapting the staff involved in the surgeries in order to facilitate and improve the work with such intelligent tools. The Human Factors Engineering and ergonomics approach – in particular, the Cognitive Work Analysis – should be adopted to consider both the individual human decision-making process and the team decision-making dynamics. Whereas the introduction and integration of AI in the operating rooms is still embryonic and often limited to a handful of highly specialized units, in current surgical practice, only a few pre-operative predictive models, trained on datasets and originally developed in a research context using AI technologies, have been tested for their real operational capacities in terms of support to surgical teams. Two of the case studies might show the potential of AI in the preoperative phase of interventions: the first case study is about a surgery AI assistant that can predict the percentage of the thrombus volume reduction at the end of an endovascular thrombectomy on some cerebral arteries, in order to aid surgeons in deciding if mechanical thrombectomy alone is needed or if additional medical techniques should be integrated, or to anticipate that the surgery will fail and it will be necessary to shift to a different therapeutic strategy. The second case study is about a model trained to predict the type and number of changes in the surgical plan determined by the intra-operative bowel shifting, showing in real-time the estimated length and diameter of the proximal colonic section bordering a rectal cancer location on CT. A fast and efficient tool will enable the team to anticipate the necessary bowel mobilization during resection, reduce the number of alternate surgical strategies, better select which patients would benefit from primary anastomosis, and reduce the number of colostomy diverting stomata in the case of a planned Hartmann procedure.

**5. Case Studies and Success Stories in AI-Enhanced Surgical Procedures**

In order to share how AI technologies could have an impact on patients across the world, we examined five real-world applications that have been documented as success stories or case studies for AI-driven surgical planning or guidance. In this section, we offer a high-level summary of the stories, in which we explain the types of impacts that could be expected from an AI technology, as well as existing challenges and limitations and how those were addressed by these successful deployments in healthcare settings. These cases focus on the clinical impact for the patients and the efficiency improvements for healthcare providers. They offer a range of different applications for AI in the operating theater, including decision-making support, predictive analytics, and efficient resource management. They also demonstrate that it is possible to acquire relevant intraoperative data for AI applications through new imaging methods or through combination with high-quality data available before the surgical procedure, enabling high-quality machine learning models. Furthermore, these studies demonstrate how AI technologies can be applied to a range of patient groups and different types of surgery, including applications on a range of anatomical areas, invasive and minimal interventions, including robotic surgery. Throughout this review, we frequently refer back to these decision-making points and come back to each of these five success stories individually in detail.

**6. Future Direction**

Several future directions can be envisaged concerning the integration of AI in surgical practices. We anticipate that machine learning algorithms, such as deep learning, can be extended to tackle ever more complex tasks that range from flow diagnostic-prognostic missions to other complex performance evaluations. The interoperability of AI systems, both with each other and with the broader healthcare IT, is believed to be very important to deliver actionable insights, enhancing their applicability and performance. Speaking from a longer perspective, AI will complement precision medicine efforts that underscore not only individual differences but also variations occurring within the course of a disorder. One way to capitalize on AI's potential in the surgical domain will involve the design of innovative, tailored AI-directed operative procedures that could be converted into instructions for advanced robotic and interconnected surgical tool platforms following appropriate validation.

Currently, the landscape around AI in surgery is dotted with numerous research outputs, and platforms linking computational research with in vitro, in vivo, laboratory, or clinical-based prospective, larger population multicenter clinical registries are well underway in order to evaluate the translational potential of AI intervention approaches. Importantly, large-scale prospective robust multi-stakeholder engagement involving a range of voices from researchers to healthcare practitioners and patient representatives must be part of any new approach to AI integration in operative care. Ethics thereafter become an important consideration, particularly in the surgical context where the traditional notions of handiness, personal skill variances in terms of physical and technical aspects, knowledge of operative anatomy, and implicit surgical virtues must be reinterpreted in the era of AI. The advent of AI in surgery necessitates AI solutions whose rationale is explainable in a transparent manner with outcome-focused values rooted in the natural safety and trust accorded to all healthcare professionals' practices. AI may not replace the skills and experiences of the operating surgeon and the operating healthcare team. Rather, it may augment their inputs by sifting out the relevant data that may help the team and the patient. Our reflection brings out the reflection in the surgical landscape in an era suffused with AI and its influence.

## 7. Conclusion

This paper presents a critical review of the current research on AI in optimizing surgical planning and execution. Prior work in this domain can be divided into three major areas: the use of AI to predict surgical outcomes and physiological factors while individualizing care, surgical coaching applications using AI that provide guidance to surgeons based on predictive models, and the use of AI to improve surgeon actions based on surgical procedures. This paper identifies future directions as the development of joint autoregressive models and the investigation of the requirements for regulatory approval and market acceptance for AI as a second surgeon, as well as the ethical, liability, and responsibility considerations.

AI-driven technological advancements have brought about promising solutions to overcome the challenges associated with decision-making in surgical procedures. Through powerful computing capabilities, AI can offer real-time surgical solutions, reduce uncertainties, and provide optimized outcomes. However, the collaborative work of technologists, surgeons, and regulatory bodies is crucial for the smooth integration of AI-based solutions in surgical settings. It is essential to discuss and justify ethical considerations before integration. The innovative work presented in this paper opens the door for future research that demands and defines comprehensive guidelines and directions for the seamless adoption of AI in surgical settings. In return, the upsurge in AI-based knowledge and its practical applications will likely improve patient care and lead to transformative outcomes.